REVISITING THE PALÆOOLITHIC SITE AT SZEGED-ÖTHALOM: ATTEMPT FOR APPOINT THE PALÆOOLITHIC HORIZON
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Abstract
This paper presents a new approach to study the palaeoecological and archaeological benefits of the previously investigated Szeged-Öthalom area. The aim was to combine the archaeological results with the palaeoecological ones by a new integral view. Age-depth models of ¹⁴C dated charcoal were calculated via Bayesian method to reconstruct the sediment accumulation rates in the investigated loess-paleosol sequences. Moreover, the age of a Mammoth bone found in 1935 at the nearby Palaeolithic site was correlated with the calculated accumulation rates. Through our new results, the age of the Palaeolithic site could be correlated to the late LGM dust-accumulation-peak period. Even if this period is considered as cold and dry, the palaeoecological settings indicated dense forest cover and cool climate in the investigated area. This means that the palaeoenvironment may have encouraged the diffusion of Gravettian hunters in this area, founding campsites like Öthalom in the southern part of the Carpathian Basin.
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INTRODUCTION

The Szeged-Öthalom area is at the point where the alluvial fan of the Danube-Tisa Interfluve and the flood-basin of the river Tisa join (Fig. 1). During the Pleistocene, an alluvial fan was covered by wind-blown sand, and the floodplain by aeolian- and infusion (floodplain) (Pécsi and Schweitzer, 1991) loess. The accumulation has mostly been eroded in this area by the rivers Tisa and Maros; there are only small residual horizons (Mezősi, 1983). The area of Öthalom (Öthalom = five mounds) belongs to this group of remains mentioned above. Several researchers have studied this region in order to clear up the beliefs and facts regarding the geologic and palaeontological makings of this terrain (Rotarides, 1931; Miháltz, 1953, 1967; Szööör, 1963; Jakucs, 1979; Rónai, 1979; Szööör et al., 1992), as well as the chronological classification of these disclosed layers (Krolopp et al., 1995; Sümegi, 2005; Sümegi et al., 2015). Because of its raised position, the region has been populated for a long time. The first signs of human activities were found already in the late 19th century, when after the great flood of Szeged an extended burial-place from the era of Settlement of the Magyars in Hungary were excavated, along with a Sarmatian burial-place, containing about 100 graves when mounds were tampered with while rebuilding the city (Varázséji, 1880; Reizner 1904). In 1935 a research got perked up when Palaeolithic equipment and bones were discovered (Banner, 1936), along with artefacts from the late Iron Age, era of Avar and the great Settlement of the Magyars (Párducz, 1960; Bálint, 1968; Paluch, 2010, 2016; Szalontai, 2016), proving that the region has been semi-continuously populated for centuries and thousands of years. The purpose of this study is to try and define the exact duration of the revealed Palaeolithic horizon with a use of generated age-depth models and sedimentation rates based on calculations that are established in virtue of the results of these models.

STUDY SITE

Szeged-Öthalom can be approached from northwest of Szeged, turning off by the main road number 5. This region has been transformed a lot by anthropogenic activities.
Due to flood prevention measures the average height of the mounds was reduced, and many mounds were totally removed from the original locations in the need of building dams (Krolopp et al., 1995; Lechner, 2000). Archaeological excavations also made great differences to the original condition of this site. However, it was the stationed army that did most damage between 1950 and 1990, because barracks were constructed next to the examined area, and the territory comprising the mounds was used as a training field. Even a sandpit was formed next to one of these mounds, which was the initial point for the recurring research since 1990 (coordinates of the sandpit: N 46°17′14.29″; E 20°06′10.66″).

Nowadays there are only three bigger and one residual mounds left (Fig. 1). Their detailed lithological analysis and the definition of their extensional position were based on 24 shallow corings and two 6-m high outcrops inside the pit (Szőör et al., 1992; Krolopp et al., 1995; Sümegi et al., 2015; Fig. 2). The outcrops have different lithologies, owing to diverse accumulation environments. The topography here is undoubtedly determined by distribution of a wind-blown sand. A top of this sand layer became soil-like and can be traced all over the surface of the mounds. However, it is replaced by lake sediments at lower level. A loess that conserves a shape of the sand-mounds can be found in two forms. Between the sand-mounds, marshes (so-called semlyék in Hungarian) have evolved, with mostly infusion loess in them, while a typical loess is more common at the higher levels of the sand-mounds. This type is accumulated mainly on top of the mounds, and occurs only in island-like features. Contrary, the infusion loess is much more exten-
sive. A top part of the loess had become soil-like transformed, however, its evidences can be found at undisturbed places only (Krolopp et al., 1995; Sümegi et al., 2015).

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Nine radiocarbon age analyses were done in the examined profiles, mostly from mollusc shells, but one time from a charcoal. In the first segment (Profile #1 (aeolian)) five measurements were performed, while in the second one (Profile #2 (infusion)) four were carried out. Moreover, in 1935 a Mammoth pelvic-bone piece was excavated, however its stratigraphy is uncertain, because the piece was found east from the examined profiles (Fig. 1). According to the specification it was laid bare from 4.5 m deep from quondam surface (Banner, 1936), that presumes about 84–86 m a.s.l. Regardless of this, based on the calibrated age of the bone, a correlation is possible. The raw age data were calibrated according to the IntCal13.14c calibration curve (Reimer et al., 2014) with help of the Calib 7.0.4 software (Stuvier and Reimer, 1993) and Bacon (Blaauw and Christen, 2011) models the accumulation rates of many equally spaced depth sections based on an autoregressive process with specified distributions. ARs (accumulation rates) were estimated at 42–48 mln Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) iterations, and the rates form the age-depth models. AR was first constraint by default prior information: acc. shape = 1.5 and acc. mean = 50 for the gamma distribution, a memory mean = 0.7 and memory strength = 4 for beta distribution describing the autocorrelation of AR. Age modelling was run to achieve a 4 cm final resolution initially. The fit of posterior gamma and beta distributions, as well as the 95% CI (confidence interval) ranges, plus the AR with 95% CI ranges were considered in order to choose the best model. Finally, age-depth modelling was run using the parameters set for the best chosen model to obtain a 1-cm resolution age-depth model. Sedimentation rates (mm/year) were calculated using the best age-depth model using equation:

\[
AR = \frac{(d2-d1)}{(a2-a1)} \times 1000
\]

where \(d1\) and \(d2\) are consecutive depths at 1-cm intervals, \(a1\) and \(a2\) are mean model ages. 95% confidence ranges were also calculated using the same equation but \(a1\) and \(a2\) here represents lower and upper 95% CI. The model-based AR was used to express both the average overall temporal resolution and its fluctuations along the entire time-span of the profile.
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Fig. 2. Schematic drawing of the A-A’ section with the locations of the investigated profiles.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

When establishing the age-depth models for both examined profiles, only smaller parts of these were studied here because when sampling was done, no one thought about generating a model that considers the whole profile individually. However, the examined parts are from similar time intervals. The section between 150 and 450 cm of the Profile #1 was formed between ~19,326 and ~29,362 cal BP (Fig. 3; Table 1), that means 300 cm sediment over ~10 ka. In this case, the average AR is ~0.3 mm/year. On the other hand, in the case of the Profile 2, the section 75–325 cm was formed between ~17,223 and ~21,893 cal BP (Fig. 4; Table 1). It means that the 250-cm thick sediment has been deposited over ~4670 years. The average accumulation rate here is 0.535 mm a⁻¹ and about 1.8 times higher than what we found in the aeolian profile. Both age-depth model CI allow a relatively large deviation from the mean, just like the available radiocarbon data (Figs. 3, 4; Table 1). This is because when these samplings were done, AMS radiocarbon calculations were not so common yet, bulk-type calculations were used instead, which clearly gave high dispersion data (Gillespie et al., 1986; Bronk Ramsey et al., 2004).

Fig. 3. Lithology of the aeolian loess (Profile #1) profile and the constructed age-depth model.
The profiles are only 30 m apart from each other, but because of different accumulation environments, their lithology structure is completely different. Their bedrock, composed of wind-blown sand, is at the same level. In both cases, aeolian dust has been deposited on sand in two depositional environments. Typical loess can be found on diversified reliefs, and lacustrine sediment and infusion loess in deeper areas. According to our age data, the dust accumulation started 30,000 years ago.

Based on the cm-based resolution age model, the com-
Accumulation rates of two examined profiles introducing subaerial and subaquatic environment by the Szeged-Öthalom area indicate similar trends. It is manifested mostly in intensive dust accumulation between 20,500 and 19,000 cal BP (Fig. 5). This period chronologically ranges with the reconstructed accumulation-maximum of the Madaras loess-paleosol sequence segment (Sümegi et al., in press), which corresponds to the last and coldest period of the LGM (Clark et al., 2009). This conjectures that the ice sheet was located nearest to the studied localities (Hemming, 2004). The AR graphs of the two profiles are very similar, but there is a significant difference in the mean AR values. Compared to the Profile #1, the AR values are higher in the Profile #2. The reason for this is presumably a local topography, the subaquatic accumulation environment had been formed at lower places, while...
subaerial ones at higher places (Fig. 2). The lower reliefs, like the intermound shallows are relatively more leeward than the mounds; this means, because of their position that they are more suitable for dust accumulation (Pye, 1995). Furthermore, these intermound shallows were underwater what favoured intensive deposition. Presumably, these two factors caused the higher AR value in the Profile #2.

The excavated mammal bone and Palaeolithic implements, found in 1935, were located 300 m to the east from the carved profiles (Banner, 1936; Fig. 1). According to the original description, the bone and the tools (blade, chisel, etc.; Banner 1936) were found in loess, ergo from the easternmost dry mound relief. The age of the bone was 19,165±251 cal BP (17,215±251 cal BC). Based on this, presence of the Palaeolithic hunters must have been by the end of the LGM, to be more precise at GS-2.1b Stadial (Bond et al., 1993; Björck et al., 1998; EPICA Members, 2006; Clark et al., 2009; Rasmussen et al., 2014; Fig. 5). According to the former palaeocological tests, surprisingly forest steppe vegetation was common at that time with approximately 16–17°C mean temperature in July (Sümegi and Krolopp, 2000; Sümegi et al., 2015). Based on the malacological research, the forest density was around 80% and typical forest-dweller species (Festia turgida, Clausilia dubia, Discus ruderatus, Mastus venerabilis, Punctum pygmaeum) turned up (Sümegi et al., 2015). As opposed to the global climate, the climate in the studied area was not dry-cold, but a moderately wet climate with extended forest cover was typical. This phenomenon though is not unique at that time: in the Southern Carpathian Basin there were strong continental and sub-Mediterranean climatic influences, resulting in milder climate and forestation. However, inner regions of the Carpathian Basin were drier and colder (Sümegi and Krolopp, 2000; Sümegi et al., 2011, 2015).

Because of the relatively mild climate and raised position, it served as an ideal campsite for the Palaeolithic hunters during the second wave of settlement in the Gravettian period (Dobosi, 2003). From the top of the mounds, there must have been a great lookout over the flood basin of the Tisa River, that provided handicap for hunting. The age of the Öthalom site is younger compared to the other ones from the second wave. The archaeological site near
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Fig. 5. Comparison of the calculated AR of both profiles and defining the place of the Palaeolithic findings in geological time (bone symbol); AR values are derived from Bacon models.
Madaras is ~70 km to the west and it is 21,793±976 cal BP years old (Dobosi, 1967; Table 1). The age of the most famous one near Ságvár (Lengyel, 2010; Bösken et al., 2018) is dated between 21,292±439 and 22,758±268 cal BP (Krolopp and Sümegi, 2002; Table 1). Therefore, among the Gravettian sites in the southern regions of the Carpathian Basin, the one at Óthalom was the youngest. It may involve traces of a specific hunter group or it might have served as a seasonal place for where the hunters coming by. There are still some ‘white spots’ in our research, among them a search for the most suitable algorithm is needed to calculate more precise age-depth models and to obtain more specific data. To reach this, several (at least 5 for each profile) new AMS radiocarbon ages are needed.
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